



Background



- March 2018 US Department of Education Approved Idaho's plan
- August 2018 SDE implemented plan and identified schools under the new accountability system

Idaho's New Accountability System



The system was designed to:

- Identify schools with low achievement and low or no growth in achievement for support and technical assistance
- Identify schools with graduation rates below 67 percent averaged over 3 years
- Identify schools with achievement gaps in at risk populations
- Recognize schools for top performance and reaching interim progress goals

Identification of Schools For Improvement



	# of K-8	# of high	# of
Identification category	schools	schools	alternative
	identified	identified	high schools
CSI Up	22	5	2
CSI Grad		9	37



Targeted Support and Improvement

- 247 Schools identified for at least one subgroup gap
- Achievement gap of 35 points for 3 consecutive years
- The most frequent groups identified were students with disabilities and English learners

Celebrating the Bright Spots



	# of K-8 schools	# of high schools	# of alternative	
	identified	identified	high schools	
Schools meeting 2018 goal in	158	72	0	
ELA (58.2%)	150		0	
Schools meeting 2018 goal in Math	220	35	0	
(48.1%)	220	55	0	
Schools meeting 2018 Graduation	NA	110	0	
Rate Goal (82.2%)			0	
Schools meeting 2018 goal in				
English Language Learner growth	215	15	0	
to proficiency (51.46%)				

Evaluation inspires amendments



- Analysis and review of the accountability system, feedback from the field and observations by our team, identified areas of the plan to clarify and improve the system
- Accountability Oversight Committee Report submitted to the State Board of Education in December 2018

Stakeholder engagement



- Consultation with educators to identify changes
- In person and remote meetings
- Public comment period January 17 February 1, 2019
- State Board of Education approved amendments
- Briefed Governor Little

Summary of Amendments



- English Learners
 - Proficiency on ACCESS
 - Progress toward English Language Proficiency
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement
 - All Schools CSI Underperforming
 - Alternative Schools CSI low grad rate
- 5 Year Grad Rate
- Targeted support and improvement

English Learners



- Proficiency and Statewide Exit Criteria based on ACCESS assessment
 - Assessed in Reading, Writing, Speaking, Listening
 - Receive a scale score and overall composite value (1-6) and scale score and achievement score for each subtest.

English Learners – Exit Criteria

ALL DE DE DUCE

- Previous exit criteria
 - 2016 5.0 overall and 5.0 in each domain
 - *2017 and 2018 5.0 overall and 4.0 in each domain

Amended Plan (2019)

4.2 Overall and 3.5 in Reading, Writing and Listening

English Learners Progress Toward Proficiency



- English Learners Progress toward English Language Proficiency
 - Previous metric 7 year trajectory

Amended Plan (2019)

Starting Level	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
1	1.5-1.9	2.0-2.9	3.0-3.5	3.6-4.1	4.2+
2	2.5-2.9	3.0-3.5	3.6-4.1	4.2+	
3	3.0-3.5	3.6-4.1	4.2+		
4	4.0-4.1	4.2+			
5 students are considered proficient					
6	6 students are considered proficient				

English Learners Interim & Long Term Goals



• English Learners

 Updated Interim and Long Term Goals for students making progress toward English language proficiency

Amended Plan: 2018 Baseline with goals through 2023 Reduce the number of students not making progress by 1/3

2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Baseline					
74.07%	75.80%	77.53%	79.26%	80.98%	82.71%

Comprehensive Support and Improvement



• CSI Identification

- Schools performance in ELA, Math, ELA Growth, Math Growth, Graduation Rate and EL Growth to Proficiency
- N-Size 20
- Large schools 2018 performance
- Small schools (less than 20 students in 2018) combined 2016, 2017 and 2018

Street Division

Amended Plan

School Performance is a 3 year average in metrics for all schools Example: Large School Performance for ELA assessment

3 Year Average	2017	2018	2019	Total	Percent Proficient
# Proficient	89	79	88	256	
# Tested	130	+ 122	<mark>+</mark> 128	= 380	67.36%

Example: School B Performance for Math assessment

# Proficient	7	4	6	17	
# Tested	11	8	10	29	58.6%

ESSA Update: Senate Education 2019 | 15

Includes All Schools



- •CSI Identification
 - Inclusion of small schools and K-2 schools in accountability system

Example: School C with less than 20 students after three years

3 Year Average	2017	2018	2019	Total
# Proficient	4	4	4	12
# Tested	7	6	6	19

Amended Plan: Documents the Qualitative Review Process for schools with less than 20 or serving students not assessed



- 5 Year Grad Rate
 - Calculated for the first time for the Class of 2017
 - Established baseline and long term/interim progress goals

Amended Plan (2021)

Identify Alternative Schools for CSI-Grad using 5 year grad rate



Targeted Support and Improvement

- 247 Schools identified for at least one subgroup gap
- Achievement gap of 35 points for 3 consecutive years
- The most frequent groups identified were students with disabilities and English learners

Excluding Schools Making Interim Progress



Example School	Statewide Target			
	Students with Disabilities	Non Disabled Peers		
ELA proficiency	32.10% 24.4%	71.30%		
Math proficiency	28.60% 24.6%	66.8%		

Amended Plan (2021)

Exclude schools making interim progress goals for the appropriate subgroup and metric





- March 1: Amended plan due to US Department of Education
- March June: US Department of Education Review
- August 2019: Implement changes*

Questions

Karlynn Laraway | Director, Assessment & Accountability 208 332 6976 klaraway@sde.idaho.gov



Supporting Schools and Students to Achieve

SHERRI YBARRA, ED.S., SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION